

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,635	10/01/2001	Jean M. Tager	B6087 2272		
23636	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
DANIEL V.	THOMPSON	WILLIAMS, MARK A			
9330 LBJ FW SUITE 1185	/Y.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75243			3676		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/682,635		TAGER	*:			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark A. Willia	ams	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Decreasive to communication(a) filed on							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		n final					
2a)□	<i>,</i> —	is action is no						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				***			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		-	` '	r			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(satent Application (PTO				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/682,635

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenkins, US Patent 2,920,914. A lock is provided for overlapping parallel sliding members 10 and 12. As seen in figures 1, 2, 4, and 5, a hinge type construction is shown which includes two leaves (18, 58) and 14, one being fixed to one of the sliding members, the other being free to rotate between locked and unlocked positions, as claimed. A resistance mechanism is provided including cam members 57 on leaf (18, 58) engaging follower element 24. The cam having a flatten lobe corresponding to the locked position and a flatten lobe corresponding to the unlocked position. The resistance mechanism provides resistance in both rotational directions as the pivot leave is pivoted about 90 degrees to a locked position. The follower is of a deformable, elastic material.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 7, 14, 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the cam located on the fixed leaf and the follower on the raised central portion of the pivotal leaf, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Conclusion

This action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

Mark Williams

9/23/03

GARY ESTREMSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER